UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6 7 8 9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4:21-cv-1404-YGR SCOTT JOHNSON, PLAINTIFF, V. COUNTRY CLUB VILLA SHOPPING CENTER. LLC, Case No. 4:21-cv-9303-YGR HONG ZHENG, ET AL., Case No. 4:21-cv-3128-YGR TONG & TUNG PROPERTIES, LLC, ET AL., EK FOOD SERVICES, INC., Case No. 4:21-cv-4843-YGR **DEFENDANTS.** Case No. 4:21-cv-5538-YGR BRIAN WHITAKER, PLAINTIFF,

ORLANDO GARCIA,

PLAINTIFF,

L & D BISTRO AND CATERING, INC.,

DEFENDANT.

V.
IPV ASSOCIATES, LLC, ET AL.,
DEFENDANTS.

Case No. 4:21-cv-5935-YGR

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

TO PLAINTIFFS AND PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel's prosecution of these cases have failed to comply with the deadlines set forth by General Order 56. The purpose of General Order 56 is to provide efficient and cost-effective procedures for parties to attempt to resolve genuine disputes arising

United States District Court Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"). Failure to comply with those procedures
threatens to undermine the important civil rights claimed to be vindicated when scores of ADA
claims are filed without prosecution. Therefore, you are HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in
writing no later than June 30, 2022, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute
Failure to timely file a substantive written response will result in the case being dismissed.

IN ADDITION, Dennis Jay Price, II, Prathima Reddy Price, and Amanda Seabock are HEREBY ON NOTICE that the Court is considering referring each to the Court's Standing Committee on Professional Conduct for their failure to prosecute cases. Not only are the judges in the District questioning the propriety of the filing of thousands of lawsuits but, if filed, plaintiffs' counsel are aware of the timing of this District's General Order 56 and obligation to comply therewith.

The law firm of Potter Handy is HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than June 30, 2022, why the following cases should not be either dismissed for failure to prosecute or a \$250.00 sanction be assessed for failure to comply with General Order 56 on each of the following cases on the undersigned's docket:

Johnson v. Country Club Villa Shopping Center, LLC, No. 4:21-cv-01404 Johnson v. Zheng, et al., No. 4:21-cv-09303 Johnson v. Tong & Tung Properties, LLC, et al., No. 4:21-cv-03128 Johnson v. EK Food Services, Inc., No. 4:21-cv-04843 Whitaker v. L & D Bistro and Catering, Inc., No. 4:21-cv-05538

Garcia v. IPV Associates, LLC, et al., No. 4:21-cv-05935

This is not the first time that the undersigned has issued orders to show cause in cases involving the above-mentioned counsel. Given the volume before the undersigned, it appears that counsel is filing suits without the ability, capacity, or intention to comply with General Order 56 in a timely manner.

PLAINTIFFS AND COUNSEL ARE ADVISED that a notice to voluntarily dismiss the case will be deemed a concession that a \$250.00 sanction is appropriate as no good cause can support a response. The sanction will thus be ordered.

United States District Court

PLAINTIFFS AND COUNSEL ARE ADVISED that a generic or bald reference to "good cause"
is insufficient. Any response must be site specific with site specific details.
PLAINTIFFS AND COUNSEL ARE ADVISED that this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases

has been revised and includes a requirement that any extensions of the deadlines reflected in General Order 56 must be **sought no later than one week prior** to the deadline. Failure to comply with the Standing Order may lead to monetary sanctions and the denial of any unsupported request for an extension.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 22, 2022

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE